

Mineral nitrogen forms alter C-14-glucose mineralisation and nitrogen transformations in litter and soil from two sugarcane fields

Mariano, Eduardo; Hill, Paul W.; Trivelin, Paulo C. O.; Jones, David

Applied Soil Ecology

DOI:

[10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.05.019)

Published: 01/11/2016

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.05.019)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Mariano, E., Hill, P. W., Trivelin, P. C. O., & Jones, D. (2016). Mineral nitrogen forms alter C-14-glucose mineralisation and nitrogen transformations in litter and soil from two sugarcane fields. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 107, 154-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.05.019>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Mineralisation and sorption of dissolved organic nitrogen compounds in litter and soil from**
2 **sugarcane fields**

3
4 **Eduardo Mariano^{a,b,*}, Davey L. Jones^b, Paul W. Hill^b, Paulo C. O. Trivelin^a**

5 ^a *Laboratory of Stable Isotopes, Center for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, University of São Paulo,*
6 *Piracicaba, SP, Brazil*

7 ^b *Environment Centre Wales, School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography, Bangor*
8 *University, Bangor, Gwynedd, UK*

9
10 * Corresponding author: Laboratory of Stable Isotopes, Center for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture,
11 University of São Paulo, Av. Centenário, 303, CEP 13400-970, CP 96, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. Tel.:
12 +55 1999850-6545. *E-mail address:* emariano@cena.usp.br; dumariano@gmail.com (E. Mariano).

27 ABSTRACT

28 Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) represents an important soluble nutrient pool in soil, however,
29 little is known about the dynamics of DON in the litter and topsoil of Brazilian sugarcane (*Saccharum*
30 spp.) fields, particularly those that are harvested mechanically, without burning. Therefore, the aim
31 of this study was to determine the microbial mineralisation and sorption affinity of DON compounds
32 in litter and soil from the litter-soil transition zone of two sugarcane plantations located in
33 southeastern Brazil. We directly measured the C mineralisation of ^{14}C -labelled amino acids (mix of
34 17 amino acids), peptides (L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala), urea, and protein (isolated from tobacco
35 leaves) by capturing $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ evolved from the litter and soil over 168 h. A sorption assay was
36 performed using the same treatments. We found differences in the organic and mineral N pools of the
37 litter and soil, as well as in microbial community composition. Except for protein in the soil, the DON
38 compounds were taken up rapidly by microbes. However, the C use efficiency was higher for the
39 amino acid mix than for the peptides and urea, indicating more rapid post-uptake catabolism (with
40 subsequent mineralisation as $^{14}\text{CO}_2$) of both compounds. In addition, protein had the highest sorption
41 affinity, especially in soil, and the weak sorption affinity of the amino acids, peptides, and urea
42 indicates moderate bioavailability of these fractions to microbes and plants. We conclude that strong
43 sorption of protein to the solid phase limits its bioavailability and represents a rate limiting step in
44 DON turnover.

45
46 *Keywords:* Mineralisation, Sorption, Amino acid, Peptide, Urea, Protein.

47

48

49

50

51

52 1. Introduction

53 In the southeast region of Brazil, the burning and manual harvesting of sugarcane (*Saccharum*
 54 spp.) has been extensively replaced by mechanical harvesting, without burning, owing to
 55 environmental, economic, social, and human health concerns (Galdos et al., 2013). This modern
 56 harvest system promotes the deposition of leaves and other debris on the soil surface, which can result
 57 in an annual input of between 10 and 20 Mg ha⁻¹ (dry weight) of crop residue in sugarcane fields
 58 (Leal et al., 2013). However, along with crushed bagasse, sugarcane litter can also been used in the
 59 cogeneration of heat and electricity in mills (Leal et al., 2013), and the ever-growing possibility of
 60 second generation bioethanol production from the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials,
 61 including sugarcane litter, has also raised important discussions regarding the removal of the residue
 62 from sugarcane fields (Cantarella et al., 2013; Leal et al., 2013; Sordi and Manechini, 2013). The
 63 main benefits of litter deposition are related to increases in soil microbial activity, soil moisture
 64 content, soil C storage, nutrient cycling, stability of soil temperature, and erosion control (Dourado-
 65 Neto et al., 1999; Sparovek and Schnug, 2001; Cerri et al., 2011; Franco et al., 2013; Azevedo et al.,
 66 2014), whereas the disadvantages include increased incidence of some plant pests (as the litter
 67 provides a more conducive habitat for pathogen persistence; Dinardo-Miranda and Fracasso, 2013)
 68 and ammonia volatilisation from urea fertiliser (higher urease activity is reported in plant residues
 69 than in soil; Barreto and Westerman, 1989). In addition, the influence of litter deposition on the supply
 70 of N to sugarcane is another subject that has attracted interest from both scientists and farmers, owing
 71 to its role in proper N fertiliser management (Fortes et al., 2011, 2012, 2013; Trivelin et al., 2013). It
 72 is generally assumed that plant litter and humus are the two most important sources of dissolved
 73 organic matter (DOM) in soils, and its release into solution occurs through physicochemical
 74 decomposition and leaching from litter and formation of humic substances (Kalbitz et al., 2000).
 75 However, despite the low net N mineralisation of sugarcane residue (Fortes et al., 2012), studies
 76 characterizing organic N fractions contained in the litter layer that can be mineralised in the short
 77 term, to our knowledge, are scarce.

The last 25 years has seen a progressive shift in our understanding of terrestrial N cycling. In particular, and in contrast to the traditional paradigm of N cycling, it has been shown that a wide range of low molecular weight dissolved organic N (DON) compounds can be directly taken up by plant roots, along with inorganic forms of N (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- ; Barak et al., 1990; Schimel and Bennett, 2004; Jones et al., 2005; Nannipieri and Paul, 2009; Kuzyakov and Xu, 2013). Although mineralisation and immobilisation processes drive nutrient availability to plants in the classical N cycle model, the depolymerisation of organic N compounds plays a key role in the N cycling in the new conceptual paradigm (Schimel and Bennett, 2004). Depolymerisation occurs through extracellular enzymes that are produced by microbes and are capable of cleaving polymers to smaller polymers or monomers. As a consequence, these low molecular weight DON compounds (e.g., amino acids and oligopeptides) can be rapidly mineralised and nitrified, or even taken up by plants in their intact forms (Schimel and Bennett, 2004; Jones et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2011). For this reason, the contribution of organic N from litter to the N supply of growing sugarcane might have been underestimated and should be investigated more fully (Brackin et al., 2015).

The net N mineralisation of low molecular weight DON compounds by microbes also has an important effect on the bioavailability of inorganic N forms. Rapid cycling of amino acids and peptides has been extensively observed in temperate soils, using ^{14}C tracers to measure C mineralisation (Jones and Kielland, 2002; Jones et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2009; Farrell et al., 2011; Glanville et al., 2012; Wilkinson et al., 2014). The rapid mineralisation of oligopeptides is explained by its intact uptake by soil microbes, including mycorrhizas, especially in N-limited ecosystems (Farrell et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2012). However, the mineralisation of urea and protein, a low and high molecular weight DON compound, respectively, is still unclear. Although the behaviour of urea as an N fertiliser has been broadly studied and recognized (Bremner, 1995), measurements of its turnover are restricted to temperate soils, where high rates of urea catabolism have been described (Nielsen et al., 1998; Glanville et al., 2012). In contrast, Jones and Kielland (2012) reported low protein mineralisation rates in a taiga forest soil, owing to the wide range of extracellular enzymes

required for its cleavage into monomers. Alongside the variable mineralisation of different DON compounds, the uptake of DON by microbes from the sugarcane litter may primarily provide them with C to fuel respiration, thus resulting in lower C use efficiency (*CUE*) and consequent higher C mineralisation, since the crop residue has a greater C-to-N ratio than the underlying soil (Sinsabaugh et al., 2013). In addition, distinct microbial communities between litter and soil can also affect the C and N turnover (Creamer et al., 2015).

Alongside mineralisation, sorption to the solid phase plays an important role in regulating the dynamics of DON in soil. There is ample evidence that sorption of DON can stabilise and promote the accumulation of organic matter in subsoil horizons, although it has also been proposed that biofilms covering mineral surfaces may counteract this to some extent (Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003; Marschner and Kaiser, 2003). Most amino acids and peptides are weakly sorbed to the soil solid phase, thus exhibiting relatively high bioavailability (Amelung et al., 2002; Roberts et al., 2007; Ge et al., 2012). On the other hand, the sorption of urea is variable and occurs through hydrogen bonding mainly from amino hydrogens, whereas protein is suggested to readily sorb to the colloid solid phase (Mitsui et al., 1960; Said, 1972; Baron et al., 1997). Meanwhile, the sorption affinity of DON compounds in the litter layer is entirely unknown. However, when the sorption equilibrium between the solid and liquid phase is changed through DON depletion, part of the sorbed fraction may be released back into solution, in order to restore the previous equilibrium. Thus, if the litter layer has a significant sorption capacity, its presence may also mitigate losses of DON which would otherwise be leached down the soil profile.

On the basis of the recently proposed model of the N cycle, we believe that understanding the dynamics of DON compounds in the litter and soil of sugarcane fields is essential to increasing the sustainability of sugarcane production in Brazil, as well as in other countries. Research regarding this topic could also close gaps in our current knowledge by providing additional information about the role of litter in terrestrial N cycling. Accordingly, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the reactions (C mineralisation and sorption) of ¹⁴C-labelled DON compounds (amino acids, peptides,

urea, and protein) in litter and soil from two sugarcane fields located in Brazil. We hypothesised 1) that the C mineralisation of amino acids, peptides, and urea by litter and soil microbes would be more rapid than the mineralisation of protein, 2) that DON compounds would be taken up more slowly in soil than in litter, and 3) that the sorption **affinity** of protein would be higher than that of the other DON compounds.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Site characteristics

Litter and soil samples were collected from two sugarcane N rate-response experiments located in São Paulo, Brazil. At both experimental sites, sugarcane is planted *ca.* every six years and is harvested annually. Before crop replanting, soil tillage (ploughing, harrowing, and furrow opening), lime and gypsum application, and the sowing of atmospheric N₂-fixing legume plants are usually performed.

Site 1 was located in Novo Horizonte (21°32'S, 49°20'W), where the sandy loam soil (825 g kg⁻¹ sand, 23 g kg⁻¹ silt, and 152 g kg⁻¹ clay; 0.0–2.5 cm soil depth) is classified as a Typic Hapludox (Soil Survey Staff, 2014). The mean annual temperature is 23.2 °C, and the mean annual precipitation is 1134 mm y⁻¹ (29-year average). The site has a long history of annual vinasse, press mud, and organo-mineral fertiliser (100 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) application. The experiment was established in Oct. 2010, and the harvest of the 2012/2013 season crop was performed using a mechanical harvester in Aug. 2013.

Site 2 was located in Piracicaba (22°41'S, 47°38'W), where the clay soil (297 g kg⁻¹ sand, 87 g kg⁻¹ silt, and 617 g kg⁻¹ clay; 0.0–2.5 cm soil depth) is classified as a Rhodic Eutruxox (Soil Survey Staff, 2014). The mean annual temperature is 21.6 °C, and the mean annual precipitation is 1230 mm y⁻¹ (81-year average). There is no recent record of by-product or manure amendment at this site. The experiment was established in Apr. 2010, and the harvest of 2012/2013 season crop was performed by hand in Sep. 2013.

156

157 *2.2. Sampling procedure and characterisation of the litter and soil*

158 Litter and soil were sampled in early Nov. 2013 from control plots (no N applied; $n = 4$) at both
 159 sites. Nine individual samples of litter or soil were randomly taken at 20 cm from plant rows in each
 160 control plot and were then combined and mixed to generate a composite sample. Litter samples were
 161 collected by hand, discarding the newest superficial litter and sampling the partially decomposed
 162 plant material at 2.5 cm above the litter-soil transition zone, and were cut into small pieces (~ 0.75
 163 cm^2) to ensure homogeneity. Soil samples were collected to a depth of 0.0-2.5 cm using a 5.5 cm i.d.
 164 stainless steel corer, and the soil cores were gently passed through an 8-mm mesh sieve to remove
 165 any plant matter. For subsequent experimentation, litter and soil samples were pre-incubated at a
 166 water holding capacity of 60% for 14 d at 20 ± 1 °C (mean \pm SEM) and were rewetted when necessary.
 167 Except for total C, total N, moisture content, and phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis, all the
 168 remaining determinations were evaluated on a volume basis (expressed in cm^3 or dm^3) due to the
 169 difference in bulk density of litter and soil.

170 Both pH and electrical conductivity of the litter and soil were determined from a single sample
 171 [1:2.5 (v/v) ratio of litter or soil to distilled water]. Cation exchange capacity was determined by the
 172 unbuffered salt extraction method of Sumner and Miller (1996). Moisture content was determined
 173 gravimetrically by drying the litter and soil at 80 and 105 °C, respectively, for 24 h. Total C and N
 174 were determined by dry combustion with a CHN-2000 analyser (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, MI, USA).
 175 Basal respiration was measured using an automated SR1-IRGA multichannel soil respirometer (PP-
 176 Systems, Hitchin, UK) at 20 °C. Active C, represented by permanganate oxidisable C (Culman et al.,
 177 2012), was determined *via* oxidation with KMnO_4 and colour measurement with a 96-well microplate
 178 spectrophotometer (Biotek PowerWave HT; Biotek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). Basic
 179 properties of the litter and soil from the two experimental sites are presented in Table 1.

180

181 *2.3. Extract preparation and chemical analysis*

For the extraction procedure, 6 cm³ of litter or soil were shaken with either 30 mL of distilled water or 0.5 M K₂SO₄ for 15 min on a reciprocating shaker (Unimax 2010; Heidolph Elektro GmbH & Co. KG, Kelheim, Germany) at 200 rev min⁻¹. To minimise losses in DOC and DON from microbial transformation during the extraction process, extraction was performed at 4 °C (Rousk and Jones, 2010). After shaking, the extracts were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 10 min to remove suspended solids. The supernatant was filtered using Whatman GD/X syringe filters (PTFE membrane, pore size of 0.2 µm; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) to remove particulate material, including microbial cells, and was stored in polypropylene bottles at 4 °C until analysis.

The NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N content of the extracts was determined colorimetrically using the previously mentioned microplate spectrophotometer, following the protocols of Mulvaney (1996) and Miranda et al. (2001), respectively. Total inorganic N (TIN) was calculated as the sum of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N. Dissolved organic C (DOC) and total dissolved N (TDN) were measured using a multi N/C 2100S TOC-TN analyser (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany), and DON was calculated as the difference between TDN and TIN. Total free amino acids (TFAAs) were quantified by the fluorometric *o*-phthalaldehyde-β-mercaptoethanol procedure (Jones et al., 2002), and total dissolved phenolics were determined *via* reaction with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Swain and Hillis, 1959).

198

199 2.4. Microbial phospholipid fatty acid

The microbial community structure of the soil and litter samples was measured by PLFA analysis, according to the MIDI-FA protocol (Buyer and Sasser, 2012). Briefly, 2 g of litter and soil samples (15 g) that had been stored at -20 °C since collection were placed in test tubes, dried overnight, and then subject to a Bligh-Dyer lipid extraction. The extract was sonicated, centrifuged, dried, dissolved in chloroform, and loaded onto a 96-well solid phase extraction plate (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). To form the fatty acid methyl esters required for further analysis, the extracted phospholipids were eluted into glass vials in a 96-well format, dried, and transesterified. The resulting fatty acid methyl esters were then detected using capillary gas chromatography with a flame

ionization detector (Agilent 6890; Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) and separated on an Agilent Ultra 2 column (25 m long \times 0.2 mm internal diameter \times 0.33 μ m film thickness).

The **PLFAs** were summed into the following biomarker groups: i) eukaryotes: polyunsaturated fatty acids (Zelles, 1999); ii) arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF): 16:1 ω 5c (Frostegård et al., 2011); iii) gram-positive bacteria: iso- and anteiso-series saturated branched fatty acids (Zelles, 1999); iv) gram-negative bacteria: monounsaturated fatty acids and cyclopropyl 17:0 and 19:0 (Zelles, 1999); v) actinobacteria: 10-methyl branched fatty acids (Zelles, 1999); vi) fungi: 18:2 ω 6c (Frostegård and Bååth, 1996); and vii) anaerobic bacteria: 14:1 ω 7cDMA, 15:0DMA, 16:1 ω 7cDMA, 18:0DMA, 18:2DMA, and 19:0cyclo9,10DMA (Frostegård et al., 1991; Zelles, 1997, 1999). **However, these biomarkers are not entirely specific for their taxonomic groups and therefore must be interpreted with some caution (Frostegård et al., 2011).**

219

2.5. Mineralisation and microbial uptake of dissolved organic nitrogen compounds

To investigate the C mineralisation of DON compounds in litter and soil from sugarcane fields, we performed a fully randomised 2 \times 4 factorial design experiment, with four replicates. The first factor comprised the media (litter and soil), and the second factor comprised four 14 C-labelled DON compounds, which included a mix of 17 amino acids (U- 14 C), peptides (50% L-Ala-Ala and 50% L-Ala-Ala-Ala: 1- 14 C), urea (U- 14 C), and protein isolated from tobacco leaves (U- 14 C; American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St Louis, MO, USA). Litter and soil (4 cm³) were transferred to 50-mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes and treated with 0.1 mL of the 14 C-labelled DON compounds (0.1 mM), which each had specific activity of 41.7 kBq dm⁻³. The amount of DON was chosen based upon the average size of this pool in the soil (Jones et al., 2005). The amino acid mixture contained the following L-isomeric amino acids in equimolar proportions: alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tyrosine, and valine.

To trap any respired (evolved) $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ from the samples, a polypropylene vial containing 1 mL of 1 M NaOH was placed above the litter or soil, and the tubes were hermetically sealed and maintained at 20 °C. Loss of water from the tubes during the incubation period was negligible and, therefore, not corrected for. To quantify the collected $^{14}\text{CO}_2$, the traps were changed at 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, and 168 h after the addition of ^{14}C -labelled compounds. After removal, the amount of trapped $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ was determined using a scintillation cocktail (Scintisafe 3; Fisher Scientific UK Ltd., Leicestershire, UK) and a Wallac 1404 liquid scintillation counter (EG&G Wallac, Milton Keynes, UK). At the end of the incubation period, to quantify ^{14}C sorbed on colloid surfaces, litter and soil were shaken with 25 mL of chilled 0.5 M K_2SO_4 (4 °C, 15 min, 200 rev min⁻¹), as described in Rousk and Jones (2010), and centrifuged for 5 min at $18,000 \times g$. ^{14}C in the supernatant was measured by scintillation counting, as described above.

Previous studies have indicated that the organic C substrate mineralisation of soil follows a biphasic pattern (Chotte et al., 1998; Roberts et al., 2007; Jones et al. 2009; Farrell et al. 2011), which can be estimated by fitting a double first-order exponential decay equation in SigmaPlot (version 11.0, 2008, Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) where

$$f = (a_1 \times \exp^{-k_1 t}) + (a_2 \times \exp^{-k_2 t}) \quad (1)$$

in which f is the amount of ^{14}C remaining in the sample, a_1 and a_2 represent the amount of ^{14}C partitioned into catabolic processes (i.e. microbial respiration) and biomass production, respectively, k_1 and k_2 are the rate constants for these two components, and t is time. The half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of the first mineralisation pool a_1 was calculated as follows

$$t_{1/2} = \ln(2) / k_1 \quad (2)$$

However, calculating the half-life for the slower second phase a_2 is subject to uncertainty, since the connectivity between pools a_1 and a_2 is unknown (Saggar et al., 1999; Boddy et al., 2007; Glanville et al., 2016).

For the low molecular weight DON compounds, any ^{14}C label not recovered in either the NaOH traps or K_2SO_4 extracts was assumed to have been immobilised by microbes. The effective ability of

the 0.5 M K₂SO₄ to displace amino acids, peptides, and urea sorbed to the solid phase was postulated by other authors (Joergensen and Brookes, 1990; Rousk and Jones, 2010; Farrell et al., 2011). Based on the low recovery (<3% of the total) of added ¹⁴C in the K₂SO₄ extracts, a sorption component was not used in the kinetic model. Thus, the proportion of ¹⁴C used for microbial growth, termed C use efficiency (*CUE*), was calculated as follows

$$CUE = a_2 / (a_1 + a_2) \quad (3)$$

In contrast, macromolecules, such as proteins, can be aggregated and precipitated through the salting-out phenomenon when a high concentration salt (e.g., 0.5 M K₂SO₄) is added in an aqueous solution, decreasing their solubility (Grover and Ryall, 2005). We therefore tested if 0.5 M K₂SO₄ could be used effectively as extractant of ¹⁴C-labelled protein from litter and soil, and a poor recovery (<22% of the total) of added ¹⁴C was recorded, regardless the medium (Suppl Info. S1). Due to K₂SO₄ interference, high uncertainty is involved in estimate the proportion of ¹⁴C-labelled protein immobilised and sorbed, not being possible to calculate the *CUE* for this DON compound.

2.6. Heat sterilisation prior to sorption assay

A sterilisation experiment was performed as a possible pre-treatment to a sorption study, using a fully randomised 2 × 2 factorial design, with four replicates. The first factor was kept constant (litter and soil), whereas the second factor comprised the two following levels: control (without sterilisation) and heat sterilisation. The method is essentially identical to that described above, except that glucose (D-glucose-1-¹⁴C) was used as the source of labile C. Sterilisation was achieved by heating subsamples of litter and soil contained in sealed polypropylene bottles in an oven at 80 °C for 90 min. Then incubations were conducted by adding 0.1 mL of a ¹⁴C-labelled glucose solution (10 mM; 41.7 kBq dm⁻³) to 1.6 cm³ of litter or soil, and the amount of evolved ¹⁴CO₂ was measured after 1 h. We found that heat sterilisation strongly reduced microbially-mediated mineralisation, regardless of the medium at both sites (*P* < 0.001). Overall, 99% of the CO₂ evolution from the addition of ¹⁴C-glucose

to the litter and soil samples was suppressed through heat sterilisation, thus enabling the use of the protocol as a pre-treatment for the sorption assay.

2.7. Sorption of dissolved organic nitrogen compounds

The sorption of organic N compounds to the solid phases of litter and soil was determined using heat-sterilised samples to prevent microbial mineralisation during the assay (Kuzyakov and Jones, 2006), and the experimental design and treatments were identical to those used to measure the C mineralisation of DON compounds. Briefly, different concentrations of ^{14}C -labelled DON compound solutions (amino acid mix, peptides, urea, and protein; 0-1 mM) were prepared using distilled water, and 5 mL of each ^{14}C -labelled DON solution was added to 0.8 cm³ of sterilised litter or soil in 50-mL sterile centrifuge tubes (sample activity of 41.7 kBq dm⁻³). The samples containing sorption solutions were shaken for 30 min at 200 rev min⁻¹, and immediately after shaking, the litter and soil suspensions were centrifuged for 5 min at 18,000 $\times g$. The supernatant was recovered for ^{14}C determination, as described above, and a linear sorption isotherm equation was fitted to the experimental data, using the following equation:

$$S = K_d \times ESC \quad (4)$$

where S is the amount of solid phase sorption (mmol dm⁻³), K_d is the partition coefficient, and ESC is the equilibrium solution concentration at the end of the experiment (mM). Partition coefficients (K_d) were calculated as:

$$K_d = S / ESC \quad (5)$$

2.8. Statistical analysis

Differences between the physicochemical characteristics of litter and soil (C, N, and phenolics in the extracts) and microbial community structure were determined using one-way ANOVA (fixed effects models). The F -test was used, followed by Fisher's LSD as a post-hoc test, with significance defined at $P \leq 0.05$, unless otherwise stated. To elucidate major variation patterns, the relative

concentrations (mol %) of individual PLFAs were subjected to principal component analysis. Two-way ANOVA (fixed effects models), followed by Fisher's LSD as a post-hoc test ($P \leq 0.05$, unless otherwise stated), was conducted to compare differences in the results of the C mineralisation, sorption affinity, and heat sterilisation assays. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.3, 2011, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Chemistry of water and potassium sulphate extracts

More NH_4^+ -N was detected in the water and K_2SO_4 extracts of the litter than in extracts of the soil at Site 1 (Table 2). However, the NO_3^- -N content was higher in the soil at both experimental sites, regardless of the extractant (water or K_2SO_4), as was also the case for the TIN. Much higher DOC, DON, DOC-to-DON ratio, and phenolic values were observed in the water extracts of litter than in the water extracts of soil at Site 1 (Table 2). Similar differences were also detected in the K_2SO_4 extracts, with the exception of the DON content, which did not differ between the extracts of litter and soil. It was not possible to compare the TFAA values at Site 1, for either extractant, owing to extremely low values that were below the detection limit. At Site 2, higher DOC, DOC-to-DON ratio, TFAA, and phenolic values were observed in the water extracts of litter than in the water extracts of soil. However, only the phenolic content was higher in the K_2SO_4 extract of litter. On average, the DON represented 95 and 22% of the TDN (TIN + DON) in the water extracts of litter and soil, respectively, whereas DON represented 94 and 19% of the TDN in the K_2SO_4 extracts. TFAAs represented, on average, 31 and 5% of the DON in the water extracts of litter and soil, respectively, whereas the TFAAs in the K_2SO_4 extracts constituted 56 and 49% of the DON.

The comparison of extractants indicated a higher (134% increase) NO_3^- -N soil content at Site 2 when using K_2SO_4 , rather than water ($P < 0.01$), and in the same soil, higher DOC, DON, DOC-to-DON ratio, and TFAA values were also found when using K_2SO_4 ($P < 0.01$), especially for DOC content, which was 472% higher than that of the water extract. In addition, greater TFAA content

was measured in the litter at both sites when extracting with K_2SO_4 , rather than water ($P < 0.01$). However, there were no significant differences in the values obtained by the two extractants for any of the soil attributes at Site 1 ($P > 0.05$).

3.2. Microbial community structure

Principal component analysis revealed that the relative concentrations of individual PLFAs from litter and soil were compositionally distinct from each other, and together, the first and second principal components (PC1, PC2) accounted for 58% of the variation in PLFA levels (Fig. 1). Negative loadings by Gram-positive bacteria and actinobacteria biomarkers (i17:1 ω 9c and 10Me16:0 fatty acid, respectively) were the most important for the strict separation of litter from soil (regardless of site) along the PC1 axis, which explained 46% of the variation. The PC2 axis described 12% of the variation, wherein negative loading by the cy17:0 ω 7c fatty acid (Gram-negative bacteria biomarker) appeared to differentiate litter of Sites 1 and 2.

The proportion of litter- and soil-C held by microbial groups was highest in both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria, which accounted for 72% of the total litter- and soil-derived PLFA, on average (Fig. 2). At both experimental sites, higher proportions of eukaryotes, Gram-negative bacteria, and fungi were observed in the litter than in the soil, whereas slightly greater proportions of anaerobic bacteria and AMF were detected in the litter at Sites 1 and 2, respectively. On the other hand, no differences were found for the proportions of AMF or anaerobic bacteria in the litter- and soil-derived PLFA biomarkers of Sites 1 and 2, respectively. For both sites, litter-derived PLFA showed lower proportional contributions from Gram-positive bacteria and actinobacteria to the microbial community than in soil.

3.3. Mineralisation and microbial uptake

The $^{14}CO_2$ evolution from the ^{14}C -labelled DON compounds demonstrated a biphasic pattern, which was characterized by a rapid initial phase and a slower secondary phase (Fig. 3). In addition,

the double first-order exponential decay equation generally fitted well to the experimental data of ^{14}C mineralisation [$r^2 = 0.990 \pm 0.001$ (mean \pm SEM)]. At the end of the experiment, the amount of ^{14}C remaining in the amino acid mix (Fig. 3a) differed only at Site 2 and was 14% higher in the soil than in the litter ($P < 0.01$). Remarkable differences in peptide mineralisation occurred at both sites (Fig. 3b), in which ^{14}C remaining in the soil was 151% higher, on average, than in the litter ($P < 0.01$ for Site 1; $P < 0.001$ for Site 2). At Site 1, for the urea treatment, 132% more ^{14}C was remaining in the soil than in the litter ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 3c). Similarly, the remaining ^{14}C from protein was, on average, 38% greater in the soil than in the litter ($P < 0.01$ for Site 1; $P < 0.001$ for Site 2; Fig. 3d). Overall, the remaining ^{14}C content of the DON compounds exhibited the following trend in the litter: amino acid mix \approx protein \gg peptides $>$ urea ($P < 0.001$). For the soil, however, the pattern was slightly different: protein $>$ amino acid mix $>$ peptides \gg urea ($P < 0.001$).

Most of the amino acid mix was immobilised into the microbial biomass ($CUE \geq 0.75$), regardless of the medium (Fig. 4a). In contrast, the majority of the added peptides and urea was rapidly respired by microbes ($CUE \leq 0.50$). At both sites, there was no difference between the litter and soil for the CUE of the amino acid mix ($P > 0.05$), whereas higher CUE was observed in the soil than in the litter for peptides (increased by 85%; $P < 0.001$) and urea (increased by 87%; $P < 0.001$). Overall, the CUE of urea was the lowest (varying from 0.17 to 0.35) among the DON compounds. The mean half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) of the amino acid mix, peptides, urea, and protein were 1.3 ± 0.1 (mean \pm SEM); 0.6 ± 0.2 ; 0.8 ± 0.2 , and 5.6 ± 1.1 h, respectively (Fig. 4b). For the peptides, urea, and protein, the $t_{1/2}$ values were higher in the soil (increased by 60%, on average) than in the litter at both experimental sites ($P < 0.05$). For the amino acid mix, however, no differences were found ($P > 0.05$).

3.4. Sorption

The linear isotherm equation fitted well to the experimental data describing the sorption of different DON compounds to the solid phase of litter and soil ($r^2 \geq 0.945$; Fig. 5; Table 3). There were no differences in the K_d of the four DON compounds in the litter layer at Site 1 ($P > 0.05$; Fig.

388 5a; Table 3). In contrast, the K_d value for protein was, on average, 979, 569, and 1356% higher than
 389 the K_d values of the other DON compounds for the topsoil at Site 1 ($P < 0.001$), and litter at Site 2 (P
 390 < 0.05), and soil at Site 2 ($P < 0.001$), respectively (Fig. 5bcd; Table 3). At both sites, a higher protein
 391 K_d value was observed in the soil than in the litter ($P < 0.001$), whereas the K_d value of the amino
 392 acid mix was greater in the soil than in the litter at Site 2 ($P < 0.01$). For the remaining compounds
 393 (peptides and urea), no differences were found ($P > 0.05$) between the soil and litter at either site.

394

395 4. Discussion

396 4.1. Litter and soil characteristics

397 The NH_4^+ -N content found in the litter and soil at both sites was extremely low ($< 1 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$),
 398 indicating high consumption of this inorganic N fraction, most likely due to NH_4^+ immobilisation in
 399 the high C-to-N ratio litter, and also due to nitrification in the soil. The contrasting C-to-N ratio of
 400 the litter, compared to the soil (Table 1), supports this hypothesis (Mary et al., 1996). In contrast, the
 401 much higher NO_3^- -N content compared to NH_4^+ -N detected in the soil may be explained by high rates
 402 of nitrification (Mariano et al., 2015). Raison et al. (1987) also reported an increase in mineralisation
 403 and nitrification rates, owing to disturbance of soil samples and further incubation in the absence of
 404 plants. However, the lack of plant roots depleting both soil NO_3^- and NH_4^+ cannot be neglected,
 405 although it should be noted that sugarcane often has a general preference for NH_4^+ over NO_3^- (Hajari
 406 et al., 2014). In addition, the higher NO_3^- -N recovery from soil of Site 2 when K_2SO_4 was used as
 407 extractant rather than water might indicated that this anion was weakly adsorbed on the positively
 408 charged surface of colloids, and a salt solution was required for its complete displacement (Hingston
 409 et al., 1974). A note of caution arises from the fact that NO_3^- sorption in acid soils is particularly
 410 important in subsoil horizons than topsoil (Cahn et al., 1992). Thus, the mechanism involved in the
 411 higher amount of NO_3^- extracted with K_2SO_4 remains unclear for the current study.

412 The DOC, DON, DOC-to-DON ratio, and phenolic values of the litter and soil extracts are
 413 similar to other agricultural soils, whereas the TFAA content is greater (Roberts et al., 2007; Ge et

al., 2012; Versini et al., 2014). The higher DOC and phenolic contents in the litter than soil, regardless of the site or extractant (with exception of DOC extracted with K₂SO₄ at Site 2, which is explained below) is congruent with the thought that decomposing litter is a potential source of DOM in soils (Kalbitz et al., 2000; Versini et al., 2014). The DOC-to-DON ratios, which were lower than the C-to-N ratio in the litter at both sites ($P < 0.001$), indicate a greater proportion of soluble organic N than C compounds released from the crop residue, or faster microbial breakdown (biodegradability) of DOC than DON (Kalbitz et al., 2000). In addition, the higher DOC, DON, DOC-to-DON ratio, and TFAA values when using K₂SO₄ than water extract in the soil of Site 2 indicate the salt solution induced displacement of DOM from solid-phase exchange sites (Jones et al., 2012). Thus, the much higher DOC content than DON found in the K₂SO₄ extract suggests that high C-to-N ratio hydrophobic compounds (i.e. DOC) were strongly sorbed to soil minerals in comparison to low C-to-N ratio hydrophilic compounds (i.e. DON), which likely possess weaker or nonspecific bondings (Kalbitz et al., 2000; Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003).

In terms of microbial community structure, the clear differences between sugarcane litter and soil (Fig. 1) is probably related to differences in moisture content, chemistry properties (e.g., relative proportions of lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and protein), total C, total N, and C-to-N ratio. The broad dominance of Gram-positive and -negative bacteria as C decomposers in the soil microbial community reported here (70% of the total soil-derived PLFA) is slightly higher than in other studies, where both microbial groups accounted for 48-60% of the total PLFA (Buyer et al., 2010; Buyer and Sasser, 2012). The bacterial dominance observed in the litter microbial community is also consistent with previous knowledge, in which plant litter with greater C-to-N ratio is dominated by bacteria, whereas fungi are the dominant decomposers of recalcitrant litter (Strickland and Rousk, 2010; Creamer et al., 2015). In addition, the greater occurrence of fungi in litter (10%, on average) than in soil (2%, on average; Fig. 2) is probably related to their abilities in degrading more recalcitrant compounds (e.g., lignin; de Boer et al., 2005) during mineralisation of plant residues. As related to the PLFA method, it should be noted that the biomarker commonly used for fungal identification

(18:206c) is not unique to organisms from this kingdom, but is also common to other eukaryotic organisms, such as plants (Zelles, 1999; Frostegård et al., 2011). Nevertheless, Kaiser et al. (2010) demonstrated that the influence of plant sources (e.g., plant roots) is minimal.

4.2. Dynamics of dissolved organic nitrogen compounds in the litter and soil

The ^{14}C remaining and the *CUE* values for the amino acid mix (Fig. 3a; Fig. 4a) indicate that this DON compound was mostly immobilised, being used either in increasing microbial biomass or in energy storage, rather than in respiration. In contrast, peptides and urea, which exhibited lower *CUE* values (Fig. 3bc; Fig. 4a), may have followed different metabolic pathways once inside the microbial cells, being rapidly catabolised and subsequently mineralised as $^{14}\text{CO}_2$. Overall, a lower amount of ^{14}C remained in the litter at the end of the incubation and produced a lower *CUE* than in the underlying soil. As suggested by Creamer et al. (2015), such differences in the C mineralisation of ^{14}C -labelled DON compounds in litter and soil may possibly be driven by N availability (C-to-N ratio; Table 1) and microbial community structure (Figs. 1 and 2). In N-limited systems, such as sugarcane litter, *CUE* tends to decrease as the C-to-N ratio increases, since excess C is respired as CO_2 , rather than being used to build more microbial biomass (Sinsabaugh et al., 2013). Furthermore, the higher availability of labile C (measured as permanganate oxidisable C) in the litter than in the soil may have enhanced the growth rate of faster-growing microbes (i.e. copiotrophs), which usually dominate unstable and unpredictable environments and exhibit lower growth yield (i.e. *CUE*) than slower-growing microbes (i.e. oligotrophs), predominant in more stable ecosystems, where organic C quality and/or quantity is low (Fierer et al., 2007).

The $t_{1/2}$ of the low molecular weight compounds (amino acid mix, peptides, and urea) was very short, especially for the peptides and urea in the litter, indicating that the DON pool can be very low in some situations, owing to faster uptake by microbes or plants (Jones et al., 2009; Farrell et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2011, 2012; Wilkinson et al., 2014). The remarkable rapid mineralisation of L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala suggests that the peptides were probably not deaminated before their uptake

by microbes. This assumption is consistent with the findings of Payne (1980), who demonstrated that some bacteria have the ability to take up intact peptides using oligopeptide-specific transport systems (Farrell et al., 2011). Thus, peptide uptake by litter and soil microbes is sufficient to dominate the flux of protein-derived N through the soil solution, without further cleavage to amino acid monomers (Hill et al., 2012). Although it should be noted that the non-homogeneous ^{14}C label of peptides used here may have influenced results, other authors have also verified the rapid mineralisation of ^{14}C -labelled peptides in temperate soils (Farrell et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2012; Wilkinson et al., 2014). The intense release of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ from the urea during the rapid mineralisation phase is associated with hydrolysis by urease and urea amidolyase (UALase; Solomon et al., 2010). In soil, urease is derived from microbes and is also found extracellularly in plant residues (Frankenberger and Tabatabai, 1982). In addition, its activity is reported as being greater in plants and plant residues than in the soil (Barreto and Westerman, 1989). However, UALase is found within microbial cells, and its activity requires energy in the form of ATP (Antia et al., 1991; Hausinger, 2004). Thus, the higher urease activity and more rapid urea assimilation by microbes *via* UALase in the litter than in the soil may explain the consequent lower remaining ^{14}C and *CUE* of urea in the crop residue.

Unlike low molecular weight DON compounds, which do not usually require catalysis by extracellular enzymes before being taken up by the microbial biomass (Boddy et al., 2007; Ge et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2012), effective protein cleavage requires a suite of enzymes, thus reducing its mineralisation rate (Jones and Kielland, 2012). This is evident in the higher $t_{1/2}$ value of protein than that of the other DON compounds used in the present study (Fig. 4b). In addition, phenolics have been proposed to block protein breakdown by binding to the substrate and proteases (Jones and Kielland, 2012). However, the high phenolic content of the sugarcane litter apparently did not affect its breakdown, since protein mineralisation occurred more quickly in the crop residue than in the soil, which had a lower phenolic content. As suggested by Jones and Kielland (2012), the ability of phenolics to inhibit protein depolymerisation is dependent on polyphenol type, their solubility and

491 their degree of exposure (i.e. whether they are on the outside of SOM and thus capable of reaction
 492 with proteins, or whether they are soluble and can diffuse and react with insoluble proteins).

493 The much higher $t_{1/2}$ for peptides, urea, and protein in the soil than in the litter could be attributed
 494 to the possible large proportion of oligotrophs in the topsoil, which are characterised by the long
 495 latency after substrate addition and enzyme induction rather than their constitutive production,
 496 whereas copiotrophs in plant litter have brief latency before growth and enzymes are produced
 497 constitutively (Fierer et al., 2007). The greater $t_{1/2}$ of the protein in the soil (primarily at Site 2) than
 498 in the litter is probably also linked to the higher sorption affinity of this DON compound with soil
 499 minerals rather than organic matter (Figure 5, Table 3), being more slowly desorbed to the solution.
 500 On the other hand, the lack of difference between soil and litter for the amino acid mix is explained
 501 by the ubiquitous uptake and internal partitioning of substrate-C by the soil microbial community.

502 Sorption of DON compounds on the solid phase was not concentration-dependent and followed
 503 a similar pattern in the litter and soil, only differing in sorption potential (Fig. 5; Table 3). The higher
 504 sorption capacity of the soil than litter is probably related to the high charge density of Fe and Al
 505 oxyhydroxides, which are the largest sorbents for DOM in soils (Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003).
 506 However, as previously discussed for NO_3^- , sorption of DOM to variable charge minerals occurs
 507 essentially in subsoil horizons (Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003). No compound exhibited saturating
 508 sorption tendencies over the concentration range (0-1 mM), which was expected, since the
 509 concentrations were relatively low. The linear sorption isotherm equation was used, rather than the
 510 Freundlich exponential equation, since the Freundlich coefficient (K_f) is exponential-dependent and
 511 may overestimate K_d values (Soares, 2005). According to our results, protein can readily bind to the
 512 solid phase of soil, as proposed by Baron et al. (1997) and Jones and Kielland (2012). Thus, protein
 513 leaching through the soil profile may be low or even negligible. Since the linear equation fitted well
 514 to the urea sorption data (Fig. 5c; Table 3), heat sterilisation was apparently effective in inhibiting
 515 urease activity. The amino acid mix, peptides, and urea were only weakly sorbed to the litter and soil
 516 solid phases and are, therefore, relatively bioavailable for microbes and plants. These results are in

517 **agreement** with other studies (Said, 1972; Baron et al., 1997; Amelung et al., 2002; Roberts et al.,
 518 2007; Ge et al., 2012). **As postulated by Kalbitz et al. (2000), a major limitation of sorption assays**
 519 **performed under laboratory conditions relates to the use of disturbed soil samples. Under these**
 520 **conditions, DOC often exhibits rapid sorption with a high affinity for the solid phase, suggesting low**
 521 **transport rates of this organic fraction in the soil profile. However, under field conditions, the soil**
 522 **aggregation and flow regime can lead to low contact times between liquid and solid phase, thus**
 523 **diminishing DOC retention. Further work is therefore required to translate our results to the field**
 524 **under a range of hydrological conditions.** Finally, the sorption affinity of DON compounds verified
 525 here is also supported by a previous comparison between extractants, where the K_2SO_4 was able to
 526 desorb exchangeable TFAAs, DOC, and DON in the litter and soil. Jones and Willet (2006) also
 527 verified the ready desorption of DOC and DON compounds from the soil solid phase with 2 M KCl
 528 and 0.5 M K_2SO_4 .

529

530 *4.3. Implications for stabilisation and decomposition of dissolved organic nitrogen*

531 **The results from the present study provided interesting insights regarding abiotic and biotic**
 532 **factors controlling the origin and transformation of DON in litter and topsoil of sugarcane fields (Fig.**
 533 **6). As proposed by other authors (Kalbitz et al., 2000; Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003), we also**
 534 **suggest that the litter is a source of DON, whereas the soil predominantly acts as a sink, sorbing**
 535 **organic and inorganic compounds to the solid phase, thus reducing the potential to be leached (Fig.**
 536 **6). In addition, we demonstrate the dual role of the microbial community DON dynamics, namely, 1)**
 537 **as agents of SOM decomposition, which are important for the production of DON in the litter layer**
 538 **(Fig. 2); and 2) as a transient pool of organic N through which labile DON rapidly passes (Fig. 4b).**
 539 **The strong sorption affinity of protein with soil minerals can promote the stabilisation (preserve) of**
 540 **organic N (Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003), however, its subsequent low rate of desorption may limit**
 541 **its potential to be depolymerised leading to a slowing of the N cycle. In contrast, the**
 542 **depolymerisation products (e.g., amino acids, peptides) and urea were weakly sorbed to the solid**

phase, are more biodegradable but also susceptible to be leached to subsoil horizons. Based on the ^{14}C mineralisation assay, the fate of the DON compounds was essentially driven by biotic (respiration and C immobilisation by microbes) rather than abiotic factors (sorption or chemical oxidation of DON). The DON compounds had different metabolic pathways: while peptides and urea showed rapid turnover (lower half-life) and were mainly used by microbes in catabolic processes (i.e. respired to CO_2), most of the amino acid mix was used in anabolic processes (i.e. C used for microbial growth), thus exhibiting higher turnover rate. This suggest that models of DON turnover in soil may perform better if groups of N-containing substrates are considered separately.

Acknowledgments

The first author (EM) was supported by a scholarship from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP; Process n. 2013/3866-1), and this work was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). We would like to thank Beatriz N. Boschiero and Rafael Otto for their assistance in collecting litter and soil samples, as well as Fernando D. Andreote and Thiago Gumiere for their advice regarding statistical analysis of the microbial community structure.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at [URL].

References

- Amelung, W., Lobe, I., Du Perez, C.C., 2002. Fate of microbial residues in sandy soils of the South African Highveld as influenced by prolonged arable cropping. *European Journal of Soil Science* 53, 29-35.
- Antia, N.J., Harrison, P.J., Oliveira, L., 1991. The role of dissolved organic nitrogen in phytoplankton nutrition, cell biology and ecology. *Phycologia* 30, 1-89.

- 568 Azevedo, L.C.B., Stürmer, S.L., Lambais, M.R., 2014. Early changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal
569 development in sugarcane under two harvest management systems. *Brazilian Journal of*
570 *Microbiology* 45, 995-1005.
- 571 Barak, P., Molina, J.A.E., Hadas, A., Clapp, C.E., 1990. Mineralization of amino acids and evidence
572 of direct assimilation of organic nitrogen. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 54, 769-
573 774.
- 574 Baron, M.H., Revault, M., Quiquampoix, H., 1997. Protein adsorption on soil mineral surfaces. In:
575 Carmona, P., Navarro, R., Hernanz, A. (Eds.), *Spectroscopy of biological molecules: modern*
576 *trends*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 503-504.
- 577 Barreto, H.J., Westerman, R.L., 1989. Soil urease activity in winter wheat residue management
578 systems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 53, 1455-1458.
- 579 Boddy, E., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Jones, D.L., 2007. Fast turnover of low molecular weight
580 components of the dissolved organic carbon pool of temperate grassland field. *Soil Biology &*
581 *Biochemistry* 39, 827-835.
- 582 Brackin, R., Näsholm, T., Robinson, N., Guilou, S., Vinall, K., Lakshmanan, P., Schmidt, S.,
583 Inselsbacher, E. 2015. Nitrogen fluxes at the root-soil interface show a mismatch of nitrogen
584 fertilizer supply and sugarcane root uptake capacity. *Scientific Reports* 5, 15727.
- 585 Brady, N.C., Weil, R.R., 2007. *The nature and properties of soils*, fourteen ed. Prentice Hall, New
586 Jersey.
- 587 Bremner, J.M., 1995. Recent research on problems in the use of urea as a nitrogen fertilizer. *Fertilizer*
588 *Research* 42, 321-329.
- 589 Buyer, J.S., Sasser, M., 2012. High throughput phospholipid fatty acid analysis of soils. *Applied Soil*
590 *Ecology* 61, 127-130.
- 591 Buyer, J.S., Teasdale, J.R., Roberts, D.P., Zasada, I.A., Maul, J.E., 2010. Factors affecting soil
592 microbial community structure in tomato cropping systems. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42,
593 831-841.

- 594 Cahn, M.D., Bouldin, D.R., Cravo, M.S., 1992. Nitrate sorption in the profile of an acid soil. *Plant*
 595 *and Soil* 143, 179-183.
- 596 Cantarella, H., Cerri, C.E.P., Carvalho, J.L.N., Magalhães, P.S.G., 2013. How much sugarcane trash
 597 should be left on the soil? *Scientia Agricola* 70, 1-2.
- 598 Cerri, C.C., Galdos, M.V., Maia, S.M.F., Bernoux, M., Feigl, B.J., Powlson, D., Cerri, C.E.P., 2011.
 599 Effect of sugarcane harvesting on soil carbon stocks in Brazil: an examination of existing data.
 600 *European Journal of Soil Science* 62, 23-28.
- 601 Chote, J.L., Ladd, J.N., Amato, M., 1998. Sites of microbial assimilation, and turnover of soluble and
 602 particulate ¹⁴C-labelled substrates decomposing in a clay soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 30,
 603 205-218.
- 604 Creamer, C.A., Menezes, A.B., Krull, E.S., Sanderman, J., Newton-Walters, R., Farrell, M., 2015.
 605 Microbial community structure mediates response of soil C decomposition to litter addition and
 606 warming. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 80, 175-188.
- 607 Culman, S.W., Snapp, S.S., Freeman, M.A., Schipanski, M.E., Beniston, J., Lal, R., Drinkwater, L.E.,
 608 Franzluebbers, A.J., Glover, J.D., Grandy, A.S., Lee, J., Six, J., Maul, J.E., Mirksy, S.B.,
 609 Spargo, J.T., Wander, M.M., 2012. Permanganate oxidizable carbon reflects a processed soil
 610 fraction that is sensitive to management. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 76, 494-504.
- 611 de Boer, W., Folman, L.B., Summerbell, R.C., Boddy, L., 2005. Living in a fungal world: impact of
 612 fungi on soil bacterial niche development. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 29, 795-811.
- 613 Dinardo-Miranda, L.L., Fracasso, J.V., 2013. Sugarcane straw and the populations of pests and
 614 nematodes. *Scientia Agricola* 70, 305-310.
- 615 Dourado-Neto, D., Timm, L.C., Oliveira, J.C.M., Reichardt, K., Bacchi, O.O.S., Tominaga, T.T.,
 616 Cássaro, F.A.M., 1999. State-space approach for the analysis of soil water content and
 617 temperature in a sugarcane crop. *Scientia Agricola* 56, 1215-1221.

- 618 Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Wanniarachchi, S.D., Farrar, J., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011. Rapid
619 peptide metabolism: a major component of soil nitrogen cycling? *Global Biogeochemical*
620 *Cycles* 25, 1-11.
- 621 Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., Jackson, R.B., 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
622 *Ecology* 88, 1354-1364.
- 623 Fortes, C., Trivelin, P.C.O., Vitti, A.C., 2012. Long-term decomposition of sugarcane harvest
624 residues in São Paulo State, Brazil. *Biomass & Bioenergy* 42, 189-198.
- 625 Fortes, C., Trivelin, P.C.O., Vitti, A.C., Ferreira, D.A., Franco, H.C.J., Otto, R., 2011. Recovery of
626 Nitrogen (^{15}N) by sugarcane from previous crop residues and urea fertilisation under a
627 minimum tillage system. *Sugar Tech* 13, 42-46.
- 628 Fortes, C., Vitti, A.C., Otto, R., Ferreira, D.A., Franco, H.C.J., Trivelin, P.C.O., 2013. Contribution
629 of Nitrogen from sugarcane harvest residues and urea for crop nutrition. *Scientia Agricola* 70,
630 313-320.
- 631 Franco, H.C.J., Pimenta, M.T.B., Carvalho, J.L.N., Magalhães, P.S.G., Rosseli, C.E.V., Braunbeck,
632 O.A., Vitti, A.C., Kölln, O.T., Rossi Neto, J., 2013. Assessment of sugarcane trash for
633 agronomic and energy purposes in Brazil. *Scientia Agricola* 70, 305-312.
- 634 Frankenberger Junior, W.T., Tabatabai, M.A., 1982. Amidase and urease activities in plants. *Plant*
635 *and Soil* 64, 153-166.
- 636 Frostegård, A., Bååth, E., 1996. The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to estimate bacterial and
637 fungal biomass in soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 22, 59-65.
- 638 Frostegård, A., Tunlid, A., Bååth, E., 1991. Microbial biomass measured as total lipid phosphate in
639 soils of different organic content. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 14, 151-163.
- 640 Frostegård, A., Tunlid, A., Bååth, E., 2011. Use and misuse of PLFA measurements in soils. *Soil*
641 *Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 1621-1625.

- 642 Galdos, M., Cavalett, O., Seabra, J.E.A., Nogueira, L.A.H., Bonomi, A., 2013. Trends in global
 643 warming and human health impacts related to Brazilian sugarcane ethanol production
 644 considering black carbon emissions. *Applied Energy* 104, 576-582.
- 645 Ge, T., Yuan, H., Roberts, P., Jones, D.L., Qin, H., Tong, C., Huang, D., 2012. Amino acid and
 646 peptide dynamics in horticultural soils under conventional and organic management strategies.
 647 *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 12, 323-333.
- 648 Glanville, H., Rousk, J., Golyshin, P., Jones, D.L., 2012. Mineralization of low molecular weight
 649 carbon substrates in soil solution under laboratory and field conditions. *Soil Biology &*
 650 *Biochemistry* 48, 88-95.
- 651 Glanville, H., Hill, P.W., Schnepf, A., Oburger, E., Jones, D.L. 2016. Combined use of empirical data
 652 and mathematical modelling to better estimate the microbial turnover of isotopically labelled
 653 carbon substrates in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 94, 154-168.
- 654 Grover, P.K., Ryall, R.L. 2005. Critical appraisal of salting-out and its implications for chemical and
 655 biological sciences. *Chemical Reviews* 105, 1-10.
- 656 Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., 2003. Dissolved organic matter in soil: challenging the paradigm of
 657 sorptive preservation. *Geoderma* 113, 293-310.
- 658 Hajari, E., Snyman, S.J., Watt, M.P., 2014. Inorganic nitrogen uptake kinetics of sugarcane
 659 (*Saccharum* spp.) varieties under in vitro conditions with varying N supply. *Plant Cell Tissue*
 660 *and Organ Culture* 117, 361-371.
- 661 Hausinger, R.P., 2004. Metabolic versatility of prokaryotes for urea decomposition. *Journal of*
 662 *Bacteriology* 186, 2520-2522.
- 663 Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Jones, D.L., 2012. Bigger may be better in soil N cycling: does rapid
 664 acquisition of small L-peptides by soil microbes dominate fluxes of protein-derived N in soil?
 665 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 48, 106-112.

- 666 Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K.,
 667 Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011. Acquisition and assimilation of nitrogen as
 668 peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. PLOS ONE 6, e19220.
- 669 Hingston, F.J., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1974. Anion adsorption by goethite and gibbsite. II.
 670 Desorption of anions by hydrous oxide surfaces. Journal of Soil Science 25, 16-26.
- 671 Joergensen, R.G., Brookes, P.C. 1990. Ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen measurements of microbial
 672 biomass in 0.5 M K₂SO₄ soil extracts. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 22, 1023-1027.
- 673 Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., 2002. Soil amino acid turnover dominates the nitrogen flux in permafrost-
 674 dominated taiga forest soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 34, 209-219.
- 675 Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., 2012. Amino acid, peptide and protein mineralization dynamics in a taiga
 676 forest soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 55, 60-69.
- 677 Jones, D.L., Willet, V.B., 2006. Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved organic
 678 nitrogen (DON) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 38,
 679 991-999.
- 680 Jones, D.L., Owen, A.G., Farrar, J.F., 2002. Simple method to enable the high resolution
 681 determination of total free amino acids in soil solutions soil extracts. Soil Biology &
 682 Biochemistry 34, 1893-1902.
- 683 Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Murphy, D.V., Farrar, J., 2004. Role of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON)
 684 in soil N cycling in grassland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 36, 749-756.
- 685 Jones, D.L., John, R.H., Willet, V.B., Farrar, J.F., Hodge, A., 2005. Dissolved organic nitrogen uptake
 686 by plants – an important N uptake pathway? Soil Biology & Biochemistry 37, 413-423.
- 687 Jones, D.L., Willett, V.B., Stockdale, E.A., Macdonald, A.J., Murphy, D.V., 2012. Molecular weight
 688 of dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen, and phenolics in grassland soils. Soil Science Society of
 689 America Journal 76, 142-150.

- 690 Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., Sinclair, F.L., Dahlgren, R.A., Newsham, K.K., Farrar, J.F., Murphy, D.V.,
691 2009. Soil organic nitrogen mineralization across a global latitudinal gradient. *Global*
692 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 23, 1-5.
- 693 Kaiser, C., Frank, A., Wild, B., Koranda, M., Richter, A., 2010. Negligible contribution from roots
694 to soil-borne phospholipid fatty acid fungal biomarkers 18:2 ω 6,9 and 18:1 ω 9. *Soil Biology &*
695 *Biochemistry* 42, 2543-2556.
- 696 Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.H., Michalzik, B., Matzner, E., 2000. Controls on the dynamics of
697 dissolved organic matter in soils: a review. *Soil Science* 165, 277-304.
- 698 Kuzyakov, Y., Jones, D.L., 2006. Glucose uptake by maize roots and its transformation in the
699 rhizosphere. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 851-860.
- 700 Kuzyakov, Y., Xu, X., 2013. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen:
701 mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* 198, 656-669.
- 702 Leal, M.R.L.V., Galdos, M.V., Scarpore, F.V., Seabra, J.E.A., Walter, A., Oliveira, C.O.F., 2013.
703 Sugarcane straw availability, quality, recovery and energy use: a literature review. *Biomass &*
704 *Bioenergy* 53, 11-19.
- 705 Mariano, E., Leite, J.M., Megda, M.X.V., Torres-Dorante, L., Trivelin, P.C.O., 2015. Influence of
706 nitrogen form supply on soil mineral nitrogen dynamics, nitrogen uptake, and productivity of
707 sugarcane. *Agronomy Journal* 107, 641-650.
- 708 Marschner, B., Kalbitz, K., 2003. Controls of bioavailability and biodegradability of dissolved
709 organic matter in soils. *Geoderma* 113, 211-235.
- 710 Mary, B., Recous, S., Darwis, D., Robin, D., 1996. Interactions between decomposition of plant
711 residues and nitrogen cycling in soil. *Plant and Soil* 181, 71-82.
- 712 Miranda, K.M., Espey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid, simple spectrophotometric method for
713 simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. *Nitric Oxide: Biology and Chemistry* 5, 62-71.
- 714 Mitsui, S., Namioka, H., Mukai, N., 1960. Soil adsorption of urea: I. On the mechanism of adsorption
715 of urea. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 6, 25-29.

- 716 Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen - inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), Methods of soil analysis.
717 SSSA, ASA, Madison, pp. 1123-1184. (SSSA Book Series, 5).
- 718 Nannipieri, P., Paul, E.A., 2009. The chemical and functional characterization of soil N and its biotic
719 components. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41, 2357-2369.
- 720 Nielsen, T.H., Bonde, T.A., Sørensen, J., 1998. Significance of microbial urea turnover in N cycling
721 of three Danish agricultural soils. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 25, 147-157.
- 722 Oburger, E., Jones, D.L., 2009. Substrate mineralization studies in the laboratory show different
723 microbial C partitioning dynamics than in the field. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41, 1951-
724 1956.
- 725 Payne, J.W., 1980. Transport and utilization of peptides by bacteria. In: Payne, J.W. (Ed.),
726 Microorganisms and nitrogen sources. John Wiley, Chichester, pp. 211-256.
- 727 Raison, R.J., Connell, M.J., Khanna, P.K., 1987. Methodology for studying fluxes of soil mineral-N
728 in situ. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 19, 521-530.
- 729 Roberts, P., Bol, R., Jones, D.L., 2007. Free amino sugar reactions in soil in relation to soil carbon
730 and nitrogen cycling. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 39, 3081-3092.
- 731 Rousk, J., Jones, D.L., 2010. Loss of low molecular weight dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and
732 nitrogen (DON) in H₂O and 0.5 M K₂SO₄ soil extracts. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42, 2331-
733 2335.
- 734 Saggar, S., Parshotam, A., Hedley, C., Salt, G., 1999. ¹⁴C-labelled glucose turnover in New Zealand
735 soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31, 2025-2037.
- 736 Said, M.B., 1972. Adsorption of urea by some Sudan soils. *Plant and Soil* 36, 239-242.
- 737 Schimel, J.P., Bennett, J., 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology*
738 85, 591-602.
- 739 Sinsabaugh, R.L., Manzoni, S., Moorhead, D.L., Richter, A., 2013. Carbon use efficiency of
740 microbial communities: stoichiometry, methodology and modelling. *Ecology Letters* 16, 930-
741 939.

- 742 Soares, M.R., 2005. Coeficientes de distribuição (K_D) de metais pesados em solos do Estado de São
743 Paulo. Thesis (Doctorate on Soils and Plant Nutrition) – Luiz de Queiroz College of
744 Agriculture, Univ. of São Paulo, Piracicaba.
- 745 Soil Survey Staff, 2014. Keys to soil taxonomy, twelfth ed. USDA, Natural Resources Conservation
746 Service, Washington.
- 747 Solomon, C.M., Collier, J.L., Berg, G.M., Glibert, P.M., 2010. Role of urea in microbial metabolism
748 in aquatic systems: a biochemical and molecular review. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 59, 67-88.
- 749 Sordi, R., Manechini, C., 2013. Utilization of trash: a view from the agronomic and industrial
750 perspective. *Scientia Agricola* 70, 1-2.
- 751 Sparovek, G., Schnug, E., 2001. Temporal erosion-induced soil degradation and yield loss. *Soil*
752 *Science Society of America Journal* 65, 1479-1486.
- 753 Strickland, M.S., Rousk, J., 2010. Considering fungal:bacterial dominance in soils: methods, controls,
754 and ecosystem implications. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42, 1385-1395.
- 755 Sumner, M.E., Miller, W.P., 1996. Cation exchange capacity and exchange coefficients. In: Sparks,
756 D.L. (Ed.), *Methods of soil analysis*. SSSA, ASA, Madison, pp. 1201-1229. (SSSA Book
757 Series, 5).
- 758 Swain, T., Hillis, W.E., 1959. The phenolic constituents of *Prunus domestica*: I. The quantitative
759 analysis of phenolic constituents. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 10, 63-68.
- 760 Trivelin, P.C.O., Franco, H.C.J., Otto, R., Pereira, D.A., Vitti, A.C., Fortes, C., Faroni, C.E., Oliveira,
761 E.C.A., Cantarella, H., 2013. Impact of sugarcane trash on fertilizer requirements for São Paulo,
762 Brazil. *Scientia Agricola* 70, 345-352.
- 763 Versini, A., Mareschal, L., Matsoumbou, T., Zeller, B., Ranger, J., Laclau, J., 2014. Effects of litter
764 manipulation in a tropical *Eucalyptus* plantation on leaching of mineral nutrients, dissolved
765 organic nitrogen and dissolved organic carbon. *Geoderma* 232-234, 426-236.

- 766 Wilkinson, A., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J.F., Jones, D.L., Bardgett, R.D., 2014. Rapid microbial uptake and
767 mineralization of amino acids and peptides along a grassland productivity gradient. *Soil*
768 *Biology & Biochemistry* 72, 75-83.
- 769 Zelles, L., 1997. Phospholipid fatty acid profiles in selected members of soil microbial communities.
770 *Chemosphere* 35, 275-294.
- 771 Zelles, L., 1999. Fatty acid patterns of phospholipids and lipopolysaccharides in the characterization
772 of microbial communities in soil: a review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 29, 111-129.
773

Figure captions




Fig. 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the microbial community structure based on the relative concentrations (mol %) of individual phospholipidic fatty acid biomarkers of litter and soil. Percentages in the axis labels indicate the amount of variance explained by each principal component. Symbols represent mean values, derived from the PCA with individual samples ($n = 16$), whereas bi-directional error bars indicate the SEM ($n = 4$) for principal components 1 and 2.




Fig. 2. Proportional phospholipidic fatty acid (PLFA) biomarkers of litter- and soil-derived PLFA held within microbial groups. EUK: eukaryotes; AMF: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; GP: gram-positive bacteria; GN: gram-negative bacteria; ACT: actinobacteria; FUN: fungi; ANA: anaerobic bacteria. The error bars indicate the SEM ($n = 4$). Different lowercase letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 1, whereas different capital letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 2, according to Fisher's LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$).




Fig. 3. Amount of ^{14}C -remaining after application of amino acid mix (A), L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala peptides (B), urea (C), and tobacco leaf protein (D) in litter and soil following 168 h incubation. Symbols represent mean values, and the error bars represent the SEM ($n = 4$). Lines represent fits to a double first-order exponential decay equation. Different lowercase letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 1, whereas different capital letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 2, according to Fisher's LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$).




Fig. 4. Carbon use efficiency (A) and half-life of the first mineralisable pool (B) determined by a double first-order exponential decay equation for the ^{14}C -labelled amino acid mix (AAs), peptides (L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala), urea, and protein (isolated from tobacco leaves) applied to litter and soil following 168 h incubation. The error bars indicate the SEM ($n = 4$). Different lowercase letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 1, whereas different capital letters indicate differences between the litter and soil at Site 2, according to Fisher's LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$). ND: not determined due to poor recovery of ^{14}C -labelled protein sorbed to the litter and soil solid phases when 0.5 M K_2SO_4 is used (Suppl Info. S1).




Fig. 5. Sorption of ^{14}C -labelled amino acid mix, peptides (L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala), urea, and protein (isolated from tobacco leaves) to the solid phase of litter (A - Site 1; C - Site 2) and soil (B - Site 1; D - Site 2). Bi-directional error bars indicate the SEM ($n = 4$) for sorption and solid solution concentrations. Different lowercase letters indicate differences between the ^{14}C -labelled DON compounds in the litter or soil from each site, according to Fisher's LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$).




Fig. 6. Schematic representation of the proportion of dissolved organic N (DON) and total inorganic N (TIN) associated with the soluble and exchangeable pools of litter and soil from two sugarcane fields (A), and the possible link between sorption, turnover rate, and immobilisation into the microbial biomass of ^{14}C -labelled amino acid mix, peptides (L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala), urea, and protein (isolated from tobacco leaves) applied to the litter and soil (B). We suggest that the partially decomposed litter is an important source of DON to the solution, whereas the soil acts as a sink, sorbing organic and inorganic compounds to the solid phase, thus reducing N losses in leaching. In addition, the high sorption affinity and low mineralisation rate of the protein limits its bioavailability and represents a rate limiting step in DON turnover. Exchangeable pool was extracted with 0.5 M K_2SO_4 . Soluble plus exchangeable pool of the litter and soil (considering both sites; $n = 6$) were 6.7 and 31.4 mg N dm^{-3} , respectively. Due to poor recovery of ^{14}C -labelled protein sorbed to the litter and soil solid phases when 0.5 M K_2SO_4 is used (Suppl Info. S1), the C use efficiency of this DON compound was therefore not determined.

Table 2

Concentrations of nitrogenous compounds, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and phenolics in water and K₂SO₄ extracts of litter and soil. The nitrogenous compounds included ammoniacal nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N), total inorganic N (TIN), dissolved organic N (DON), and total free amino acids (TFAA). Values represent means ± SEM (*n* = 4), and different lowercase letters indicate differences between the litter and soil of each site, according to Fisher's LSD test (*P* ≤ 0.05). DOC, dissolved organic C; BDL, below the detection limit; N/A, not available.

Treatment	NH ₄ ⁺ -N	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	TIN mg N dm ⁻³	DON	TFAAs	Phenolics mg dm ⁻³	DOC mg C dm ⁻³	DOC-to-DON ratio
Water extract								
Site 1								
Litter	0.34 ± 0.04 a	0.03 ± 0.03 b	0.37 ± 0.06 b	7.8 ± 1.0 a	2.53 ± 0.43	8.02 ± 0.90 a	131.6 ± 13.6 a	17.1 ± 1.2 a
Soil	0.18 ± 0.03 b	20.09 ± 3.12 a	20.27 ± 3.10 a	4.2 ± 0.3 b	BDL	0.40 ± 0.05 b	31.1 ± 4.3 b	7.6 ± 1.2 b
<i>P</i> value	0.021	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.014	N/A	< 0.001	0.001	0.002
Site 2								
Litter	0.13 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.10 b	0.31 ± 0.13 b	5.8 ± 0.9	1.76 ± 0.36 a	12.23 ± 0.87 a	135.0 ± 23.9 a	23.4 ± 1.8 a
Soil	0.20 ± 0.04	8.11 ± 2.22 a	8.32 ± 2.26 a	3.8 ± 0.9	0.21 ± 0.20 b	1.85 ± 0.21 b	25.9 ± 2.4 b	7.7 ± 1.4 b
<i>P</i> value	0.208	0.012	0.012	0.165	0.009	< 0.001	0.004	< 0.001
K ₂ SO ₄ extract								
Site 1								
Litter	0.33 ± 0.11 a	0.04 ± 0.02 b	0.33 ± 0.11 b	6.0 ± 0.9	3.76 ± 0.13	8.15 ± 0.61 a	121.3 ± 4.3 a	21.4 ± 2.6 a
Soil	0.01 ± 0.01 b	31.10 ± 5.55 a	31.11 ± 5.55 a	4.2 ± 1.2	BDL	0.82 ± 0.26 b	32.7 ± 2.1 b	9.2 ± 1.9 b
<i>P</i> value	0.023	0.001	0.001	0.297	N/A	< 0.001	0.001	0.010
Site 2								
Litter	0.11 ± 0.02	0.30 ± 0.30 b	0.41 ± 0.30 b	6.7 ± 1.0	3.40 ± 0.39	9.75 ± 0.59 a	139.7 ± 7.8	22.2 ± 3.2
Soil	0.65 ± 0.30	19.00 ± 1.22 a	19.65 ± 1.01 a	7.8 ± 0.7	3.84 ± 0.29	2.37 ± 0.59 b	148.2 ± 8.8	19.2 ± 0.7
<i>P</i> value	0.124	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.366	0.401	< 0.001	0.495	0.389

889 **Table 3**

890 Partition coefficient (K_d) estimated using a linear isotherm sorption equation for the ^{14}C -labelled amino acid mix, peptides (L-Ala-Ala and L-Ala-Ala-Ala), urea, and
 891 protein (isolated from tobacco leaves) sorbed on the solid phase of litter and soil. Values represents means \pm SEM ($n = 4$). r^2 is the coefficient of determination for the
 892 linear regression, and different lowercase letters indicate differences within columns, according to Fisher's LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$).

DON compound	Litter (Site 1)		Soil (Site 1)		Litter (Site 2)		Soil (Site 2)	
	K_d (L dm $^{-3}$)	r^2	K_d (L dm $^{-3}$)	r^2	K_d (L dm $^{-3}$)	r^2	K_d (L dm $^{-3}$)	r^2
Amino acid mix	0.33 ± 0.01	0.974	0.59 ± 0.02 b	0.995	0.24 ± 0.02 b	0.945	1.10 ± 0.03 b	0.996
Peptides	0.08 ± 0.01	0.980	0.20 ± 0.01 b	0.988	0.07 ± 0.01 b	0.974	0.46 ± 0.05 c	0.979
Urea	0.10 ± 0.01	0.962	0.23 ± 0.02 b	0.956	0.10 ± 0.01 b	0.976	0.50 ± 0.07 c	0.947
Protein	0.78 ± 0.07	0.960	3.67 ± 0.86 a	0.991	0.91 ± 0.03 a	0.964	9.99 ± 0.53 a	0.994

893